arXiv:1202.1211v1 [math.NT] 6 Feb 2012

Waring's problem in the natural numbers with binary expansions of a special type

K.M. Éminyan

Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation and
Bauman State Technical University, Moscow.
Email: eminyan@mail.ru

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{N}_0$ be a class of natural numbers whose binary expansions contain even numbers of ones. Waring problem in numbers of class $\mathbb{N}_0$ is solved.

Key words: Waring's problem, binary expansion, sequence of natural numbers, trigonometric sum, complex-valued function, inequality of the large sieve.

1. Introduction

Let $n = e_0 + e_1 2 + \ldots + e_k 2^k$ be a binary expansion of a natural n, ($e_j = 0, 1$). Let $\mathbb{N}_0$ be the set of natural numbers whose binary expansions have an even number of ones, $\mathbb{N}_1 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$. Define symbol $\varepsilon(n)$

$$\varepsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_0; \\ -1, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_1. \end{cases}$$

In 1969, A.O. Gelfond [1] proved that natural numbers of classes of $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{N}_1$ are regularly distributed in arithmetic progressions.

In 1991, author obtained [2] an asymptotic formula for the sum of

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x,\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \tau(n)$$

and so solved the problem of Dirichlet in numbers from $\mathbb{N}_0$.

In this paper, we solve the problem of Waring in numbers from $\mathbb{N}_0$. Note that essentially a few more general problem is solved. Let $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ be an arbitrary set of zeros and ones. We obtain an asymptotic formula for the number of solutions of the equation

$$x_1^n + x_2^n + \ldots + x_k^n = N \tag{1}$$

in positive integers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ such that $x_j \in \mathbb{N}_{i_j}$, where $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

Let $J_{k,n}(N)$ be the number of solutions of (1) in an arbitrary numbers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ and $I_{k,n}(N)$ – the number of solutions (1) in the numbers $x_1, x_2, \ldots,$ x_k from $\mathbb{N}_0$. Our main result is the following:

Theorem. *Let* $n \geqslant 3$*,*

$$k_0 = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } 3 \leqslant n \leqslant 10; \\ 2\,[n^2(\log n + \log\log n + 4)], & \text{if} \qquad n > 10. \end{cases}$$

Let $k \geqslant k_0$*. Then the formula*

$$I_{k,n}(N) = 2^{-k} J_{k,n}(N) + O(N^{k/n-1-\Delta/n}),$$

where $\Delta = c_1(n^2 \log n)^{-1}$*,* $c_1 > 0$*, holds.*

Recall that $J_{k,n}(N) \asymp N^{k/n-1}$ (see eg [3], chapter XI).
To prove the main theorem we need several lemmas.

2. Lemmas

Lemma **1.** *(Hua Loo-Keng)*
Let

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{x \leqslant P} e^{2\pi i \alpha x^n}.$$

Then for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$

$$\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2^j}\, d\alpha \ll P^{2^j - j + \varepsilon},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ *ia an arbitrarily small number.*

For the proof see [4], p. 20.

Lemma **2.** *(Vinogradov)*
Let $n \geqslant 4$*,* $k \geqslant 2k_0$*, where* $k_0 = [n^2(\log n + \log\log n + 4)]$*. Then we have*

$$\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^k\, d\alpha \ll P^{k-n}.$$

For the proof see [5], p. 84.

Lemma **3.** *Let* h *be a natural number,* $h \leqslant H$*,* $H \leqslant X/4$ *. Define the sum* $S(X,h)$

$$S(X,h) = \sum_{n \leqslant X} \varepsilon(n)\varepsilon(n+h).$$

Then the estimate

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} |S(X,h)| = O(X\, H^{\mu}),$$

where $\mu = \frac{\ln 3{,}5}{\ln 4} = 0.903...$*, holds. The constant implied in sign* O *is absolute.*

Proof. Let

$$V(X,h) = \sum_{n\leqslant X} \varepsilon(n)\left(\varepsilon(n+h)+\varepsilon(n+h+1)\right).$$

Consider the binary expansion of h:

$$h = \omega_0 + 2\omega_1 + \ldots + 2^{k-1}\omega_{k-1} + 2^k,$$

where $\omega_j = 0,\ 1\ \ (j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1)$.

For $0 \leqslant j < k$ define the numbers h_j and s_j:

$$h_j = \omega_j + 2\omega_{j+1} + \ldots + 2^{k-1-j}\omega_{k-1} + 2^{k-j},$$

$$s_j = 1 - 2\omega_j.$$

Dividing each of the sums

$$S(X2^{-j}, h_j),\ V(X2^{-j}, h_j)$$

into two sums – for even and odd n – we get:

$$S(X2^{-j}, h_j) = (1+s_j)S(X2^{-j-1}, h_{j+1}) + \frac{s_j-1}{2}V(X2{-}j-1, h_{j+1}) + \theta_j \tag{2}$$

$$V(X2^{-j}, h_j) = 2s_jS(X2^{-j-1}, h_{j+1}) - s_jV(X2^{-j-1}, h_{j+1}) + \theta_j^{'}, \tag{3}$$

where $|\theta_j|$, $|\theta_j^{'}| \leqslant 1$.

Let $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\beta_0 = 1$. Then we have

$$S(X,h) = \alpha_0 S(X,h_0) + \beta_0 V(X,h_0).$$

According to (2) and (3) for any $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$ we have

$$S(X,h) = \alpha_j S(X2^{-j}, h_j) + \beta_j V(X2^{-j}, h_j) + O(|\alpha_j| + |\beta_j|),$$

where

$$\alpha_{j+1} = (1+s_j)\alpha_j + 2s_j\beta_j, \tag{4}$$

$$\beta_{j+1} = \frac{s_j-1}{2}\alpha_j - s_j\beta_j. \tag{5}$$

It follows from (4) that

$$\beta_j = \frac{\alpha_{j+1} - (1+s_j)\alpha_j}{2s_j}. \tag{6}$$

Substitute (6) in (5):

$$\beta_{j+1} = -\frac{\alpha_{j+1}}{2} + s_j\alpha_j. \tag{7}$$

Substitute $j+1$ instead of j in (6):

$$\beta_{j+1} = \frac{\alpha_{j+2} - (1+s_{j+1})\alpha_{j+1}}{2s_{j+1}}.$$

From this and from (7) we obtain:

$$\alpha_{j+2} - \alpha_{j+1} = 2s_j s_{j+1}\alpha_j. \tag{8}$$

Furthermore,

$$\alpha_{j+3} - \alpha_{j+2} = 2s_{j+1}s_{j+2}\alpha_{j+1},$$

$$\alpha_{j+3} = (1+2s_{j+1}s_{j+2})\alpha_{j+1} + 2s_j s_{j+1}\alpha_j. \tag{9}$$

We estimate $|\alpha_j|$ from above equality.

First, since $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 1+s_0$, we obtain from (8) by induction that

$$|\alpha_j| \leqslant 2^j, \qquad j = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1. \tag{10}$$

This estimate, in some cases can be improved.

Let j_1 be the smallest odd number in the interval $[1, k-3]$ such that $s_{j+1}s_{j+2} = -1$. Then from (9) and (10) we have:

$$|\alpha_{j_1+3}| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\,2^{j_1+3}; \tag{11}$$

Further, from (8), (10) and (11) we obtain:

$$|\alpha_{j_1+4}| \leqslant |\alpha_{j_1+3}| + 2|\alpha_{j_1+2}| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\,2^{j_1+3} + 2^{j_1+3} = \frac{3}{4}\,2^{j_1+4};$$

Now, since $|\alpha_{j_1+3}| \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\,2^{j_1+3}$, $|\alpha_{j_1+4}| \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\,2^{j_1+4}$, we get that from (8)

$$|\alpha_{j_1+t}| \leqslant \frac{3}{4}\,2^{j_1+t} \quad \text{when} \quad 4 \leqslant t. \tag{12}$$

Let j_2 be the smallest odd number in the interval $[j_1+2, k-3]$ such that $s_{j_2+1}s_{j_2+2} = -1$.

Arguing as in the derivation of (11) and (12), we obtain:

$$2^{j_2+t} \quad \text{when} \quad 4 \leqslant t.$$

Continuing this process, we get $|\alpha_k| \leqslant \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa(h)} 2^k$, where $\varkappa(h)$ is the number of sign changes in the pairs of numbers (s_1, s_2), (s_3, s_4), …, (s_{2j_0-1}, s_{2j_0}) where $2j_0 - 1$ is the greatest integer not exceeding $k-3$.

Let $\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)$ be the number 1 and 2 in the decomposition of h_1 for the base 4.

Then $\varkappa(h) \geqslant \varkappa_{1,2}(h_1) - 1$.

In fact,

$$h_1 = \sum_{1\leqslant 2j-1\leqslant k-3} \eta_j 4^{j-1},$$

where $\eta_j = \omega_{2j-1} + 2\omega_{2j}$; 1 is subtracted from the $\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)$, so that 1 or 2 most significant digit can not be ignored because of the condition $2j-1 \leqslant k-3$.

Thus,

$$|\alpha_k| \leqslant \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot 2^k.$$

From this and from (6) implies that

$$|\beta_k| \leqslant \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)} 2^{k+1},$$

so

$$|S(X,h)| \ll X \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)}.$$

Let t be the smallest positive integer such that $H < 4^t$.

Estimate

$$\sum_{h_1=0}^{4^t-1} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{h_1=0}^{4^t-1} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)} = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{s} \sum_{h_1=0,\varkappa_{a,2}(h_1)=s}^{4^t-1} 1 =$$

$$= \sum_{h_1=0}^{4^t-1} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)} = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{s} \sum_{h_1=0 \quad \varkappa_{1,2}(h_1)=s}^{4^t-1} 1 =$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{t} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{s} \sum_{l=0}^{s} \binom{t}{l} \binom{t-l}{s-l} 2^{t-s} = \sum_{s=0}^{t} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{s} 2^{t-s} \binom{t}{s} \sum_{l=0}^{s} \binom{s}{l} =$$

$$= 2^t \sum_{s=0}^{t} \binom{t}{s} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{s} = \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^{t} \leqslant H^{\frac{\ln 3,5}{\ln 4}} = H^{\mu}.$$

□

Lemma 4. *Let* $\eta > 0$. *Let* $g(x)$ *be a polynomial of degree* $n \geqslant 10$ *with leading coefficient* α. *Let* $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}$, $(a,\, q) = 1$, $|\theta| \leqslant 1$, $P^{\eta} \leqslant q \leqslant P^{n-\eta}$. *Then*

$$\left|\sum_{x\leqslant P} e^{2\pi i g(x)}\right| \ll_{\eta} P^{1-c_1(n^2\log n)^{-1}},$$

where $c_1 = c_1(\eta,\ n) > 0$.

Proof. Lemma 4 is different from Theorem 2 of [3], p. 190 that the condition $P^{1/4} \leqslant q \leqslant P^{n-1/4}$ replaced by $P^{\eta} \leqslant q \leqslant P^{n-\eta}$. Proof of Lemma 4 and the theorem is essentially the same, only the parameter τ must be chosen so: $\tau = [C(\eta) n \log n]$, where $C(\eta) > 0$ – just a large number. ☐

Lemma **5.** *(Weyl Inequality) Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial of degree n, $2 \leqslant n \leqslant 10$, with leading coefficient α, $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{a}{q^2}$, $(a,\, q) = 1$, $|\theta| \leqslant 1$.*

Then

$$\Big|\sum_{x \leqslant P} e^{2\pi i g(x)}\Big| \ll P^{1+\varepsilon}\big(q^{-1} + P^{-1} + qP^{-n}\big)^{2^{-n+1}}.$$

For the proof see [4], p. 19.

3. Proof of Theorem

3.1 We first prove that the sum

$$W(\alpha) = \sum_{x \leqslant P} \varepsilon(x) e^{2\pi i \alpha x^n}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the estimate

$$|W(\alpha)| \ll_n P^{1 - c_1 (n^2 \log n)^{-1}},$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant.

Approximate α with a rational number: $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q\tau}$, $(a,\, q) = 1$, $|\theta| \leqslant 1$, $1 \leqslant q \leqslant \tau = P^{n-1-1/2000}$.

Cases where $q \leqslant P^{0{,}001}$ and $P^{0{,}001} < q \leqslant \tau$, are considered in different ways.

Assume first that $P^{0{,}001} < q \leqslant \tau$. Define integers H and K:

$$H = [P^{1/4000n}], \qquad 2^{K-1} < P^{1/2000n} \leqslant 2^K.$$

We apply the well-known inequality, whose proof is given, for example, [6], p. 361:

$$|W(\alpha)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2}{H} + \frac{P}{H} \sum_{1 \leqslant h < H} \Big| \sum_{x \leqslant P-h} \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h) e^{2\pi i \alpha((x+h)^n - x^n)} \Big| \ll$$

$$\ll \frac{P^2}{H} + PH + \frac{P}{H} \sum_{1 \leqslant h < H} \Big| \sum_{x \leqslant P} \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h) e^{2\pi i \alpha((x+h)^n - x^n)} \Big|.$$

Put in the last sum a/q instead of α and estimate the error occurring at the same time.

We have

$$e^{2\pi i \alpha((x+h)^n - x^n)} = e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n - x^n)} e^{2\pi i z((x+h)^n - x^n)} =$$

$$= e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n - x^n)} \big(1 + O(|z| h P^{n-1})\big) =$$

$$= e^{2\pi i \frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n - x^n)}\bigl(1 + O(P^{-1/4000})\bigr),$$

since

$$|z|h < \frac{H}{q\tau} \leqslant \frac{1}{q}P^{-n+1+1/2000+1/4000}, \quad q > P^{1/1000}.$$

Hence we have

$$|W(\alpha)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2}{H} + PH + P^{2-1/4000} +$$

$$+ \frac{P}{H}\sum_{1\leqslant h<H}\bigl|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n - x^n)}\bigr|.$$

We split the last sum in arithmetic progressions with the difference 2^K:

$$\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n-x^n)} =$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{2^K-1}\sum_{1\leqslant 2^K y+m\leqslant P}\varepsilon(2^K y+m)\varepsilon(2^K y+m+h)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((2^K y+m+h)^n-(2^K y+m)^n)} =$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{2^K-h-1}\sum_{y\leqslant P2^{-K}}\varepsilon(2^K y+m)\varepsilon(2^K y+m+h)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((2^K y+m+h)^n-(2^K y+m)^n)} +$$

$$+ O(2^K) + O(PH2^{-K}).$$

By definition of $\varepsilon(n)$ for $0 \leqslant m < 2^K + h$, we have

$$\varepsilon(2^K y+m)\varepsilon(2^K y+m+h) = \varepsilon(m)\varepsilon(m+h),$$

so

$$\bigl|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((x+h)^n-x^n)}\bigr| \ll$$

$$\ll \sum_{m=0}^{2^K-1}\bigl|\sum_{y\leqslant P2^{-K}} e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}((2^K y+m+h)^n-(2^K y+m)^n)}\bigr| + 2^K + PH2^{-K}.$$

For fixed m and h function

$$\frac{a}{q}\bigl((2^K y+m+h)^n - (2^K y+m)^n\bigr)$$

is a polynomial of y of degree $n-1$ with leading coefficient $\frac{a}{q}nh2^{K(n-1)}$. If this rational fraction is cancellable, then perform the reduction and

$$\frac{a}{q}nh2^{K(n-1)} = \frac{a_1}{q_1}, \qquad (a_1,\, q_1) = 1.$$

Since $(a,\, q) = 1$, $q_1 \gg q2^{-Kn} > P^{1/2000}$, because $q > P^{0{,}001}$, $2^{Kn} \geqslant P^{1/2000}$.

Thus,

$$P^{1/2000} < q_1 \leqslant \tau = P^{n-1-1/2000}. \tag{13}$$

Thus, it suffices to estimate Weyl's sum of a special type

$$\sum_{y\leqslant P2^{-K}} e^{2\pi i g(y)},$$

where $g(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ and leading coefficient a_1/q_1, where $(a_1,\, q_1) = 1$, and q_1 satisfies (13).

Estimating the sum at $n \leqslant 11$ by Lemma 5, and when $n > 11$ – by Lemma 4, we obtain:

$$\Big|\sum_{x\leqslant P} \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi i\alpha((x+h)^n-x^n)}\Big| \ll P^{1-2c_1(n^2\log n)^{-1}}, \quad (c_1 > 0),$$

and so

$$|W(\alpha)| \ll P^{1-c_1(n^2\log n)^{-1}}$$

in the case of $P^{0{,}001} < q \leqslant P^{n-1-1/2000}$.

Now let $1 \leqslant q \leqslant P^{0{,}001}$. Transform $W(\alpha)$:

$$W(\alpha) = \sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)e^{2\pi i\frac{a}{q}x^n}e^{2\pi i z x^n} = \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{al^n}{q}} \sum_{\substack{x\leqslant P,\\ x\equiv l(\bmod q)}} \varepsilon(x)e^{2\pi i z x^n} =$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{al^n}{q}} \sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)e^{2\pi i z x^n}\frac{1}{q}\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{b(l-x)}{q}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{q}\sum_{b=0}^{q-1}\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{al^n+bl}{q}}\Big)W(z,\, b),$$

where

$$W(z,\, b) = \sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)e^{2\pi i(zx^n - \frac{bx}{q})}.$$

We use the Cauchy inequality:

$$|W(z,\, b)|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{q}\sum_{b=0}^{q-1}\Big|\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i\frac{al^n+bl}{q}}\Big|^2\, \big|W(z,\, b)\big|^2.$$

Let $H_1 = [P^{1/30}]$. Then

$$|W(z,\, b)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2}{H_1} + PH_1 + \frac{P}{H_1}\sum_{1\leqslant h<H_1}\Big|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi i z((x+h)^n-x^n)}\Big|.$$

We define a natural number K_1 from the inequalities

$$2^{K_1-1} < P^{1/15} \leqslant 2^{K_1}.$$

We divide x in arithmetic progression with difference of 2^{K_1} and obtain:

$$|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi iz((x+h)^n-x^n)}| \ll$$

$$\ll |\sum_{m=0}^{2^{K_1}-h-1}\sum_{y\leqslant P2^{-K_1}}\varepsilon(2^{K_1}y+m)\varepsilon(2^{K_1}y+m+h)e^{2\pi iz((2^{K_1}y+m+h)^n-(2^{K_1}y+m)^n)}|+$$

$$+2^{K_1}+PH_1 2^{-K_1}.$$

Again we use the identity

$$\varepsilon(2^{K_1}y+m)\varepsilon(2^{K_1}y+m+h)=\varepsilon(m)\varepsilon(m+h),$$

valid for $0\leqslant m<2^{K_1}-h$. We obtain

$$|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi iz((x+h)^n-x^n)}| \ll$$

$$\ll |\sum_{m=0}^{2^{K_1}-1}\varepsilon(m)\varepsilon(m+h)\sum_{y\leqslant P2^{-K_1}}e^{2\pi iz((2^{K_1}y+m+h)^n-(2^{K_1}y+m)^n)}|+$$

$$+2^{K_1}+PH_1 2^{-K_1}.$$

Our next goal is to show that the sum over y "depends only weak" on m and h. The following equalities hold:

$$(2^{K_1}y+m+h)^n-(2^{K_1}y+m)^n=$$

$$=h\big((2^{K_1}y+m+h)^{n-1}+(2^{K_1}y+m+h)^{n-2}(2^{K_1}y+m)+\ldots+(2^{K_1}y+m)^{n-1}\big);$$

$$(2^{K_1}y+m+h)^j=(2^{K_1}y)^j+O(2^{K_1}P^{j-1});\quad (1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1)$$

$$(2^{K_1}y+m)^j=(2^{K_1}y)^j+O(2^{K_1}P^{j-1}).\quad (1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1)$$

From these equations it follows that

$$(2^{K_1}y+m+h)^n-(2^{K_1}y+m)^n=hn(y2^{K_1})^{n-1}+O(H_1 2^{2K_1}P^{n-2}),$$

$$e^{2\pi iz((2^{K_1}y+m+h)^n-(2^{K_1}y+m)^n})=e^{2\pi izhn(y2^{K_1})^{n-1}}1+O\big(|z|H_1 2^{2K_1}P^{n-2}\big).$$

By definition, $|z|\leqslant\frac{1}{\tau}=P^{n-1+1/2000}$, $H_1\leqslant P^{1/30}$, $2^{K_1}\ll P^{1/15}$, so

$$|z|H_1 2^{K_1}P^{n-2}\ll P^{-1+1/2000+2/15+1/30}\ll P^{-1/2}.$$

We have obtained the inequality

$$\Big|\sum_{x\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(x+h)e^{2\pi iz((x+h)^n-x^n)}\Big|\ll P2^{-K_1}\Big|\sum_{m=0}^{2^{K_1}-1}\varepsilon(m)\varepsilon(m+h)\Big|+$$

$$+P^{1/2}+2^{K_1}+PH2^{-K_1}.$$

Returning to the estimate $W(\alpha,\,b)$, we obtain:

$$|W(\alpha,\,b)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2}{H_1}+PH_1+\frac{P^2}{H_1 2^{K_1}}\sum_{1\leqslant h\leqslant H_1}\Big|\sum_{m\leqslant 2^{K_1}}\varepsilon(m)\varepsilon(m+h)\Big|+$$

$$+\big(2^{K_1}+PH_1 2^{-K_1}\big)P.$$

Applying Lemma 3, we arrive at

$$|W(\alpha,\,b)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2}{H_1}+PH_1+P2^{K_1}+P^2H_1 2^{-K_1}+\frac{P^2}{H_1^{1-\mu}} \ll \frac{P^2}{H_1^{1-\mu}},$$

where $\mu = 0,901\ldots$

Finally, since

$$\frac{1}{q}\sum_{b=0}^{q-1}\Big|\sum_{l=0}^{q-1}e^{2\pi i\frac{al^n+bl}{q}}\Big|^2 = \sum_{l_1,\,l_2=0}^{q-1}e^{2\pi i\frac{a(l_1^n-l_2^n)}{q}}\frac{1}{q}\sum_{b=0}^{q-1}e^{2\pi i\frac{b(l_1-l_2)}{q}} = q,$$

we obtain:

$$|W(\alpha)|^2 \ll \frac{P^2 q}{H_1^{1-\mu}} \ll P^2P^{0,002-(1-\mu)/15} \ll P^{2-0,05}.$$

Thus, for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$

$$|W(\alpha)| \ll P^{1-\frac{c_1}{n^2\log n}},$$

where c_1 is a constant.

3.2 Conclusion of the proof of the theorem.

Let $P = N^{1/n}$.

Since

$$\frac{1+\varepsilon(x)}{2} = \begin{cases} 1, & x\in\mathbb{N}_0;\\ 0, & x\in\mathbb{N}_1,\end{cases}$$

we have

$$I_{k,\,n}(N) = \int_0^1\Big(\frac{S(\alpha)+W(\alpha)}{2}\Big)^k e^{-2\pi i\alpha N}\,d\alpha,$$

where

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{x\leqslant P}e^{2\pi i\alpha x^n}.$$

Hence we have

$$I_{k,\,n}(N) = 2^{-k}J_{k,\,n}(N)+O(R),$$

where

$$R = \sum_{l=1}^{k}\binom{k}{l}R_l,\quad R_l = \int_0^1|W(\alpha)|^l|S(\alpha)|^{k-l}\,d\alpha.$$

Note that for positive k_1 and l_1

$$\int_0^1 |W(\alpha)|^{2l_1}|S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}\,d\alpha \leqslant \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2(k_1+l_1)}\,d\alpha. \tag{14}$$

Indeed,

$$\int_0^1 |W(\alpha)|^{2l_1}|S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}\,d\alpha =$$

$$= \sum_{x_1\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x_1)\ldots\sum_{x_{l_1}\leqslant P}\varepsilon(x_{l_1})\sum_{y_1\leqslant P}\varepsilon(y_1)\ldots\sum_{y_{l_1}\leqslant P}\varepsilon(y_{l_1})\times$$

$$\times\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}e^{2\pi i\alpha(x_1^n+\ldots+x_{l_1}^n-y_1^n-\ldots-y_{l_1}^n)}\,d\alpha \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{x_1\leqslant P}\cdots\sum_{x_{l_1}\leqslant P}\sum_{y_1\leqslant P}\cdots\sum_{y_{l_1}\leqslant P}\left|\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}e^{2\pi i\alpha(x_1^n+\ldots+x_{l_1}^n-y_1^n-\ldots-y_{l_1}^n)}\,d\alpha\right|.$$

But

$$\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}e^{2\pi i\alpha(x_1^n+\ldots+x_{l_1}^n-y_1^n-\ldots-y_{l_1}^n)}\,d\alpha \geqslant 0,$$

so

$$\leqslant \sum_{x_1\leqslant P}\cdots\sum_{x_{l_1}\leqslant P}\sum_{y_1\leqslant P}\cdots\sum_{y_{l_1}\leqslant P}\left|\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2k_1}e^{2\pi i\alpha(x_1^n+\ldots+x_{l_1}^n-y_1^n-\ldots-y_{l_1}^n)}\,d\alpha\right| =$$

$$= \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{2(k_1+l_1)}\,d\alpha.$$

Using the inequality (14), we estimate R_l for $1 \leqslant l \leqslant k$. We consider separately the four possible cases.

1° l is odd, $(k-l)$ – an even number. Then

$$R_l \leqslant |W(\alpha_0)|\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{k-1}\,d\alpha,$$

where

$$|W(\alpha_0)| = \max_{0\leqslant\alpha\leqslant 1}|W(\alpha)|.$$

2° l is odd, $(k-l)$ is odd. Then

$$R_l \leqslant |W(\alpha_0)|P\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{k-2}\,d\alpha.$$

3° l is an even number, $(k-l)$ is an even number. Then

$$R_l \leqslant |W(\alpha_0)|^2\int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{k-2}\,d\alpha.$$

4° l is an even number, $(k-l)$ is odd. Then

$$R_l \leqslant |W(\alpha_0)|^2 P \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{k-3}\, d\alpha.$$

Hence, for any $l \in [1,\, k]$, we have

$$R_l \leqslant |W(\alpha_0)| P^2 \int_0^1 |S(\alpha)|^{k-3}\, d\alpha.$$

By hypothesis, $k \geqslant k_0 + 3$. Applying for $3 \leqslant n \leqslant 10$ Lemma 1, and $n > 10$ – Lemma 2, we obtain:

$$R_l \ll |W(\alpha_0)| P^{k-1-n+\varepsilon},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since

$$|W(\alpha_0)| \ll P^{1-c_1(n^2\log n)^{-1}},$$

choosing

$$\varepsilon = \frac{c_1}{2n^2\log n},$$

we get

$$R \ll P^{k-n-\Delta},$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{c_1}{2n^2\log n}.$$

The theorem is proved.

References

[1] A.O. Gelfond, Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données. *Acta Arith.,* 13 (1968), 259 – 265.

[2] K.M. Eminyan, *On the Dirichlet divisor problem in some sequences of natural numbers, Izv. USSR Academy of Sciences. Ser. Math.*, 55:3 (1991), 680 – 686.

[3] A.A. Karatsuba, *Fundamentals of analytic number theory.* Nauka, Moscow, 1975.

[4] R. Vaughn, *The method of Hardy - Littlewood.* Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[5] I.M. Vinogradov, *method trigonomicheskih sums in number theory.* Nauka, Moscow, 1980.

[6] A.A. Karatsuba, S.M. Voronin, *Zeta–function.* Nauka, Moscow, 1995.